

The University of New South Wales, Sydney

Nimish Ukey, Zhengyi Yang, Guangjian Zhang, Boge Liu, Binghao Li, and Wenjie Zhang

Introduction

- What is kNN-join?
 - For every object of the query dataset R, finding the k nearest neighbors from another object dataset S



Fig. 1. An example of kNN Join with k = 2.

• Applications

• kNN classification, k-means clustering, outlier detection, similarity search, etc.



kNN Join Applications

Point Cloud Registration

- It is the process of finding a spatial transformation that aligns two-point clouds
- Example: Iterative Closest Point



• DBSCAN - Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise





Introduction

- What's Dynamic kNN join?
 - For any item updates, the affected user list must be updated efficiently

• Applications of Dynamic kNN Join

- Recommendation system
- Feature extraction
- Video on-demand
- Social network services, etc



Related Work

- Existing works focus on static data MuX[1], Gorder[2], iJoin[3].
- Yu, Cui, et al. proposes a high-dimensional kNN Join+ algorithm [4] for dynamically updating the new data points to allow incremental updates on kNN join results.
- Researchers propose the index structure of High-dimensional R-tree [5] on dynamic kNN join. It updates only the affected data points to avoid redundant computation

- [1] Böhm, C., Krebs, F.: The k-nearest neighbour join: Turbo charging the kdd process. Knowledge and Information Systems 6(6), 728–749 (2004)
- [2] Xia, C., Lu, H., Ooi, B.C., Hu, J.: Gorder: an efficient method for knn join process-ing. In: Proceedings of the Thirtieth international conference on Very large databases-Volume 30. pp. 756–767 (2004)
- [3] Yu, C., Cui, B., Wang, S., Su, J.: Efficient index-based knn join processing for high-dimensional data. Information and Software Technology 49(4), 332–344 (2007)
- [4] Yu, C., Zhang, R., Huang, Y., Xiong, H.: High-dimensional knn joins with incremental updates. Geoinformatica 14(1), 55-82 (2010)
- [5] Yang, C., Yu, X., Liu, Y.: Continuous knn join processing for real-time recommendation. In: 2014 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining. pp. 640–649. IEEE (2014)



Research Problem

- Efficient kNN Join over Dynamic High-dimensional Data
- Given : In d-dimensional space, query point set/user dataset U = {u₀, u₁, u_{2...}, u_n} and object point/item dataset set I = {o₀, o₁, o_{2...}, o_n} and an integer k.
- **Goal** : For the given user dataset U and an item dataset I, our goal is to dynamically find the kNN join results of U in I upon every update of I (i.e., insertions and deletions).



HDR Tree

- The process of computing PCA:
 - Let X[d × N] be a point matrix
 - compute its covariance matrix Y, and then compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of Y.
 - Rank the eigenvalues and choose the eigenvectors corresponding to the r largest eigenvalues to form a transformation matrix V[r × d].
 - Finally, transform a point p to the r-dimensional space by multiplying it with V



Structure of HDR Tree



Fig. 2. HDR-tree structure



Our Contributions

- Limitations of the of existing solutions for kNN join over dynamic high-dimensional data
 - 1. Lack of Support for Batch Updates.
 - 2. Lack of Support for Deletions.
- Our solutions for the improvement of existing system
 - 1. We provided the Batch Operations
 - 2. Lazy Updates.
 - 3. Optimised Deletions



Lazy Updates

- Identifying the affected users
- mark them as "dirty"
- Delaying updates until kNN values of affected users are needed



Fig. 2: Example of Lazy Updates on HDR-Tree



Batch Update for Insertion

- Identify the affected users but didn't update them for each of the new items
- We process all updates at the node left before updating the parameters on the internal level to help save the cost.
- Example
 - Input item stream = { i_1 , i_2 ,..., i_n } & user set = { u_1 , u_2 ,, u_m }

$$i_1 = \{ u_1, u_8, u_{11} \}$$

 $i_2 = \{ u_8, u_{11}, u_{18}, u_{25} \}$

batch update helps us to save the cost



Batch Update for Deletion

- Example Lets suppose we consider a batch of 4
 - Input item stream = $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$ & user set = $\{u_1, u_2,, u_m\}$

$$i_{1} = \{ \begin{array}{c} u_{9} \\ u_{10} \end{array} \}$$

$$i_{2} = \{ \begin{array}{c} u_{8} \\ u_{8} \\ u_{21} \end{array} \}$$

$$i_{3} = \{ \begin{array}{c} u_{8} \\ u_{8} \\ u_{9} \end{array} \}$$

$$i_{4} = \{ \begin{array}{c} u_{9} \\ u_{9} \\ u_{17} \end{array} \}$$

> Performing batch update helps us to avoid repetitive computation costs. As shown in the above example, we

had to update 3 times earlier for user u_9 .



Deletion Optimization

- Generally, reverse kNN need to perform for delete operation.
 - Computationally expensive operation
- Maintained RkNN table for all items to speed up the searching process of affected users
 - Reduce search cost



Experimental Setup

- Language: C++
- **RAM**: 12GB
- Processor: Intel Core i5-4210U 2.4GHz
- **OS**: Windows 10
- Dataset: NUS-WIDE Image Dataset [6]

Default values:

- window size 200,000
- size of user set 50,000
- K 10
- Dimension 128

[6] Chua, T.S., Tang, J., Hong, R., Li, H., Luo, Z., Zheng, Y.: Nus-wide: a real-world web image database from national university of Singapore. In: Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Image and Video Retrieval, pp. 1–9 (2009)



Experimental Results



Fig. 3. Vary number of updated items

Fig. 4. Vary number of k

Fig. 5. Vary number of |W|



Experimental Results



Fig. 6. Vary number of features

Fig. 7: HDR Tree vs RkNN Table in Deletion



Thank You



